<u>A Compartive study of Restorative techniques Ued to reinforce</u> <u>intact endodentically treated Auterise Teeth.</u>

Adel Mohey el-Din El-khodery,H.D.D., Ph.D.D* Yehia M. El-Baghdady, H.D.D., Ph.D. ** Rabab Mohamed ibrahim, M.S., Ph D.***

The aim of the present investigations was to study and Compare four restorative techniques to reinforce endodentically treated anteries teeth using four groups:

- (1) gutta-perch a technique
- (2) Composite post filling
- (3) Amalgam post filling
- (4) Cemented metal post technique.

All filled teeth were mound in self curing acrylic resin.

A metallic system was designed into which the specimen was fixed so that, the load applied at am angle of 45° to the lingual surface at cross head speed of 5cm/min using a universal testing machine

Results: It was found that, the group of teeth filled with Composet post filling in the root canal showed the highest failure load.

There is a highly significant difference between the mean failure loud of the four tested techniques. The fracture resistance was increased by $59^{\circ}\%$, $43^{\circ}\%$ and $30^{\circ}\%$ in case of group II, III and IV respective over the conventional technique (groupI). It was concluded that, the techniques of No 2,3 and 4 were effective in reivfoicing endodontically treated anterior teeth. The groups ok composite felling pest was the most effective one.

^{*} Assist. Prof., Bio-Material Dept., Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, Cairo University.

^{**} Assist. Prof., Dept. of Operative Dentistry, Tanta University.

^{***} Lecture, Dept. of crown and bridge. Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, Cairo University.